1	Supplemental Materials:	Conservation Program Participation and Adaptive Rangeland
2		Decision-Making

*M.N. Lubell*¹, B.B. Cutts², L.M. Roche³, M. Hamilton⁴, J.D. Derner⁵, E. Kachergis⁵ and K.W. Tate⁷

4 Rangeland Ecology and Management, 2013.

Table A1: Multinomial Logit Models for Williamson Act Participation

Aware, No Plans	Without Conservation Information	With Conservation Information
Off-Ranch Income Sources	1.15 (.54)*	1.12 (.53)*
Succession Plan	.87 (.63)	.86 (.64)
Role of Government	.68 (.28)*	.79 (.31)*
Private Property Rights	45 (.25)*	52 (.27)*
Conservation Information Sources		02 (.41)
Constant	96 (1.23)	-1.28 (1.60)
Aware, Currently Participating	Without Conservation Information	With Conservation Information
Off-Ranch Income Sources	1.14 (.53)*	1.05 (.52)*
Succession Plan	1.52 (.60)*	1.41 (.61)*
Role of Government	.47 (.25)*	.55 (.27)*
Private Property Rights	17 (.23)	16 (.25)
Conservation Information Sources		.37 (.38)
Constant	.30 (1.08)	78 (1.43)
Aware, Future Plans	Without Conservation Information	With Conservation Information
Off-Ranch Income Sources	1.10 (.57)*	1.02 (.57)*
Succession Plan	1.64 (.75)*	1.68 (.77)*
Role of Government	.52 (.36)	.57 (.38)
Private Property Rights	14 (.29)	10 (.32)
Conservation Information Sources		.60 (.48)
Constant	2.79 (1.62)*	-4.51 (2.10)*
Ν	452	443
Count R ²	.74	.74
Cragg-Uhler R ²	.09	.11

Notes: Cell entries are unstandardized slope coefficients (standard errors in parentheses) from a multinomial logit model, where the baseline choice is "not aware" of the conservation program. *Reject null hypothesis of coefficient = 0, p<.10.

Table A2: Multinomial Logit Models for EQIP Participation

Aware, No Plans	Without Conservation Information	With Conservation Information	
Private Acres (1000s)	.01 (.02)	.01 (.02)	
Off-Ranch Income Sources	.24 (.16)	.20 (.16)	
Generations	.21 (.09)*	.18 (.10)*	
Education	.00 (.08)	04 (.08)	
Opinion Leader	.26 (.16)	.18 (.16)	
Private Property Rights	04 (.11)	.01 (.11)	
Government Trust	20 (.12)	22 (.13)*	
Conservation Information Sources		.52 (.21)*	
Constant	-1.23 (.78)	-1.80 (.84)*	
Aware, Currently Participating	Without Conservation Information	With Conservation Information	
Private Acres (1000s)	.05 (.02)*	.05 (.02)*	
Off-Ranch Income Sources	.50 (.16)*	.41 (.17)*	
Generations	.24 (.10)*	.21 (.11)*	
Education	.18 (.09)*	.13 (.09)	
Opinion Leader	.42 (.17)*	.21 (.18)	
Private Property Rights	28 (.11)*	13 (.13)	
Government Trust	.43 (.12)*	.23 (.15)	
Conservation Information Sources		1.71 (.25)*	
Constant	-4.28 (.89)*	-6.92 (1.08)*	
Aware, Future Plans	Without Conservation Information	With Conservation Information	
Private Acres (1000s)	.04 (.02)*	.04 (.02)*	
Off-Ranch Income Sources	.29 (.21)	.20 (.22)	
Generations	07 (.13)	11 (.14)	
Education	.05 (.11)	01 (.12)	
Opinion Leader	.50 (.22)*	.31 (.23)	
Private Property Rights	21 (.15)	09 (.16)	
Government Trust	.33 (.18)*	.19 (.19)	
Conservation Information Sources		1.40 (.30)*	
Constant	-3.63 (1.17)*	-5.57 (1.33)*	
Ν	419	413	
Count R ²	.46	.50	
Cragg-Uhler R ²	.24	.36	

Notes: Cell entries are unstandardized slope coefficients (standard errors in parentheses) from a multinomial logit model, where the baseline choice is "not aware" of the conservation program. *Reject null hypothesis of coefficient = 0, p<.10.

1

Aware, No Plans	Without Conservation Information	With Conservation Information
Private Acres (1000s)	.03 (.02)	.03 (.02)
Succession Plan	.15 (.27)	.18 (.28)
Opinion Leader	.08 (.17)	.06 (.17)
Government Trust	02 (.13)	04 (.13)
Conservation Information Sources		.21 (.20)
Constant	.73 (.61)	.44 (.66)
Aware, Currently Participating	Without Conservation Information	With Conservation Information
Private Acres (1000s)	.08 (.02)*	.07 (.02)*
Succession Plan	1.09 (.42)*	1.06 (.43)*
Opinion Leader	.65 (.24)*	.54 (.24)*
Government Trust	.53 (.19)*	.37 (.20)*
Conservation Information Sources		.90 (.29)*
Constant	-5.23 (.99)*	-6.35 (1.09)*
Aware, Future Plans	Without Conservation Information	With Conservation Information
Private Acres (1000s)	.05 (.02)*	.04 (.02)*
Succession Plan	1.33 (.36)*	1.32 (.37)*
Opinion Leader	.22 (.20)	.12 (.21)
Government Trust	.56 (.16)*	.46 (.17)*
Conservation Information Sources		.65 (.25)*
Constant	-3.38 (.82)*	-4.12 (.88)*
Ν	440	434
Count R ²	.52	.54
Cragg-Uhler R ²	.20	.23

Table A3: Multinomial Logit Models for Conservation Easement Participation

Notes: Cell entries are unstandardized slope coefficients (standard errors in parentheses) from a multinomial logit model, where the baseline choice is "not aware" of the conservation program. *Reject null hypothesis of coefficient = 0, p<.10.

1

Table A4: Multinomial Logit Models for Conservation Reserve Program Participation

Aware, No Plans	Without Conservation Information	With Conservation Information		
Private Acres (1000s)	.05 (.02)*	.05 (.02)*		
Succession Plan	.04 (.22)	02 (.23)		
Generations	.18 (.08)*	.16 (.08)*		
Opinion Leader	.34 (.14)*	.26 (.14)*		
Private Property Rights	05 (.09)	01 (.10)		
Government Trust	00 (.10)	07 (.11)		
Conservation Information Sources		.53 (.17)*		
Constant	-1.45 (.60)*	-2.23 (.66)*		
Aware, Currently Participating	Without Conservation Information	With Conservation Information		
Private Acres (1000s)	.09 (.02)*	.08 (.02)*		
Succession Plan	.88 (.43)*	.74 (.43)*		
Generations	.14 (.14)	.12 (.14)		
Opinion Leader	.34 (.22)*	.22 (.23)		
Private Property Rights	25 (.16)*	13 (.16)		
Government Trust	.44 (.19)*	.32 (.20)		
Conservation Information Sources		.83 (.29)*		
Constant	-4.72 (1.11)*	-5.98 (1.23)*		
Aware, Future Plans	Without Conservation Information	With Conservation Information		
Private Acres (1000s)	.03 (.04)	.02 (.04)		
Succession Plan	.35 (.56)	.24 (.56)		
Generations	11 (.19)	14 (.19)		
Opinion Leader	.39 (.30)	.28 (.31)		
Private Property Rights	43 (.25)*	33 (.25)		
Government Trust	.46 (.27)*	.32 (.28)		
Conservation Information Sources		.88 (.39)*		
Constant	-3.87 (1.50)*	-5.17 (1.65)*		
Ν	419	413		
Count R ²	.52	.56		
Cragg-Uhler R ²	.18	.21		
Notes: Cell entries are unstandardized slope coefficients (standard errors in parentheses) from a multinomial logit				

Notes: Cell entries are unstandardized slope coefficients (standard errors in parentheses) from a multinomial logit model, where the baseline choice is "not aware" of the conservation program. *Reject null hypothesis of coefficient = 0, p<.10.